

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

Author 1: **Revathi. S. N. L. Nandam,**

Research Scholar, Department of Business Management,

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Open University.

Author 2: **Prof. Y. S. Kiranmayi,**

Guest Faculty, Department of Business Management,

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Open University.

Abstract:

Employee engagement plays a vital role in healthcare by influencing patient care, organizational efficiency, and staff satisfaction. This study examines engagement practices in selected corporate hospitals in Hyderabad using a mixed-methods approach. The findings show a predominantly young workforce with more female employees, and nurses forming the largest group. Engagement levels were distributed as 20% low, 50% moderate, and 30% high. While age and gender showed no significant impact on engagement, job roles exhibited notable differences. The study recommends role-specific strategies, inclusive engagement initiatives, professional development, recognition systems, and work-life balance programs. These measures can enhance engagement, reduce turnover, and strengthen organizational performance. The study offers practical insights for creating a supportive and motivating healthcare work environment.

Keywords: employee engagement, corporate hospitals, healthcare, job satisfaction, organizational efficiency, job roles, and engagement strategies.

Introduction

Employee engagement has become a critical focus for organizations aiming to improve productivity, job satisfaction, and overall performance. Defined as the emotional and intellectual commitment of employees to their organization, employee engagement directly

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

influences organizational success and competitiveness (Kahn, 1990). In the healthcare sector, particularly within corporate hospitals, high levels of employee engagement are essential due to the direct impact on patient care, service quality, and organizational efficiency (Wright & Cropanzano, 2000).

Corporate hospitals in Hyderabad, like those in other metropolitan cities, face unique challenges in maintaining high levels of employee engagement. These challenges include high job demands, stress, and the need for continuous professional development. Engaged employees are more likely to exhibit higher levels of job satisfaction, lower turnover rates, and better performance, which are crucial for maintaining the high standards expected in healthcare services (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008). Therefore, understanding the perceptions of employees towards engagement practices in these hospitals is vital for developing effective strategies to enhance engagement.

This study aims to analyze the perceptions of employees towards engagement practices in selected corporate hospitals in Hyderabad. By examining these perceptions, the study seeks to identify key factors that contribute to effective engagement and highlight areas for improvement. This research will provide valuable insights into how engagement practices can be optimized to enhance employee satisfaction, retention, and performance in the healthcare sector.

Significance of the Study

Employee engagement is not merely about job satisfaction; it encompasses a broader spectrum of factors including emotional attachment, loyalty, and a sense of purpose (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004). For corporate hospitals, engaged employees are crucial as they can lead to better patient outcomes, higher patient satisfaction, and a more positive work environment. Furthermore, engaged employees are more likely to advocate for their organization, contributing to its reputation and ability to attract top talent (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002).

The healthcare sector in Hyderabad is rapidly growing, with corporate hospitals playing a significant role in providing advanced medical care. However, this growth also brings challenges related to workforce management and employee engagement. This study is

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

significant as it addresses these challenges by exploring the perceptions of employees towards current engagement practices. The findings will help hospital administrators understand what drives engagement in their organizations and how they can create a more supportive and motivating work environment.

Review of Literature

Employee engagement is a multifaceted concept that encompasses the emotional, cognitive, and physical aspects of employees' involvement with their work (Kahn, 1990). According to Schaufeli and Bakker (2004), engaged employees exhibit vigor, dedication, and absorption in their work, leading to better performance and job satisfaction. Saks (2006) distinguishes between job engagement and organizational engagement, emphasizing the importance of aligning individual and organizational goals.

The healthcare sector demands high levels of engagement due to its impact on patient care and service quality (Wright & Cropanzano, 2000). Research by Leiter and Maslach (2009) indicates that engaged healthcare workers are less likely to experience burnout, leading to better patient outcomes and reduced turnover rates. Additionally, Bakker et al. (2011) highlight the role of job resources in fostering engagement among healthcare professionals.

Employee engagement is influenced by various factors including leadership, organizational culture, job characteristics, and personal resources (Macey & Schneider, 2008). Robinson, Perryman, and Hayday (2004) identify key drivers of engagement such as meaningful work, recognition, and opportunities for development. Similarly, Rich, Lepine, and Crawford (2010) emphasize the role of job engagement in enhancing organizational performance.

Effective employee engagement practices include recognition and rewards, professional development, work-life balance initiatives, and robust communication channels (Markos & Sridevi, 2010). Kular et al. (2008) suggested that organizations with strong engagement practices see higher levels of job satisfaction and lower turnover rates. A study by Harter, Schmidt, and Hayes (2002) confirmed that engaged employees are more productive and contribute to better business outcomes.

Various tools and methods have been developed to measure employee engagement. The Gallup Q12 survey is a widely used instrument that assesses key elements of engagement

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

(Harter et al., 2002). Schaufeli et al. (2006) developed the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES) to measure engagement levels across different industries. These tools provide valuable insights into the factors driving engagement and areas for improvement.

In corporate hospitals, employee engagement is crucial for delivering high-quality patient care. Studies by Laschinger and Leiter (2006) highlight the importance of supportive work environments and effective leadership in fostering engagement among healthcare workers. Further research by Simpson (2009) indicates that engaged nurses are more likely to provide better patient care and experience higher job satisfaction.

Leadership plays a critical role in shaping employee engagement. Transformational leadership, characterized by vision, inspiration, and individualized consideration, has been shown to positively influence engagement (Bass & Avolio, 1994). Avolio, Walumbwa, and Weber (2009) suggest that leaders who foster trust and empower employees contribute to higher levels of engagement.

Organizational culture significantly affects employee engagement. A culture that promotes trust, respect, and collaboration enhances engagement levels (Schein, 2010). Deal and Kennedy (1982) emphasize the importance of a strong organizational culture in aligning employees' values with organizational goals, thereby fostering engagement.

Job characteristics such as task variety, autonomy, and feedback are critical determinants of employee engagement (Hackman & Oldham, 1976). Bakker and Demerouti (2007) propose the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, which highlights the role of job resources in promoting engagement and reducing burnout.

Work-life balance initiatives play a crucial role in fostering employee engagement. Research by Beauregard and Henry (2009) suggests that organizations offering flexible work arrangements and support for work-life balance see higher engagement levels. Kossek, Baltes, and Matthews (2011) indicate that work-life balance programs enhance job satisfaction and reduce turnover intentions.

Recognition and rewards are powerful motivators for employee engagement. Deci, Koestner, and Ryan (1999) highlight the importance of intrinsic and extrinsic rewards in

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

enhancing motivation and engagement. A study by Cameron, Banko, and Pierce (2001) confirms that recognition programs significantly boost employee morale and engagement.

Professional development opportunities are critical for sustaining employee engagement. Tsai, Chen, and Cheng (2009) found that employees who perceive ample opportunities for growth and development are more engaged and committed to their organizations. Garavan, Carbery, and Rock (2012) emphasize the role of continuous learning in fostering a culture of engagement.

Effective communication and feedback mechanisms are essential for maintaining high levels of engagement. Goodman and Truss (2004) suggest that transparent communication and regular feedback enhance employees' sense of involvement and commitment. Bakker, Demerouti, and Verbeke (2004) indicate that open communication channels contribute to higher engagement levels.

Employee engagement has a direct impact on organizational performance. Studies by Harter, Schmidt, and Keyes (2002) and Rich, Lepine, and Crawford (2010) demonstrate that engaged employees are more productive, innovative, and contribute to better business outcomes. Engaged employees are also more likely to stay with the organization, reducing turnover costs and enhancing organizational stability (Allen et al., 2010).

Employee engagement is closely linked to job satisfaction. Research by Judge, Thoresen, Bono, and Patton (2001) indicates that engaged employees are more satisfied with their jobs and exhibit higher levels of organizational commitment. The positive relationship between engagement and job satisfaction underscores the importance of fostering a supportive work environment (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004).

Organizations face several challenges in fostering employee engagement, including managing diverse workforce needs, addressing job stress, and maintaining work-life balance (Kular et al., 2008). Saks (2006) suggests that overcoming these challenges requires a strategic approach that aligns organizational practices with employees' needs and expectations.

Employee engagement practices vary across different sectors. Research by Truss et al. (2006) indicates that engagement levels are higher in sectors that offer greater job security,

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

professional development opportunities, and supportive work environments. Comparing engagement practices across sectors provides valuable insights into effective strategies for enhancing engagement.

Human resource practices play a vital role in promoting employee engagement. Studies by Pfeffer (1998) and Boxall and Purcell (2011) highlight the importance of HR practices such as recruitment, training, performance management, and employee relations in fostering engagement. Effective HR practices align organizational goals with employees' needs, creating a supportive and motivating work environment.

Employee engagement is a global phenomenon, with variations in practices and perceptions across different cultures. Research by Hofstede (1980) indicates that cultural dimensions such as individualism, power distance, and uncertainty avoidance influence engagement practices. Understanding these cultural differences is essential for developing effective engagement strategies in multinational organizations.

Future research on employee engagement should focus on emerging trends such as the impact of technology, remote work, and the gig economy on engagement (Saks, 2019). Additionally, longitudinal studies are needed to understand the long-term effects of engagement practices on organizational outcomes. Integrating insights from psychology, sociology, and management will enhance our understanding of employee engagement and inform more effective practices.

Research gap

Although employee engagement has been widely examined across various sectors, there is a noticeable lack of empirical research focusing specifically on corporate hospitals in Hyderabad. Existing studies predominantly analyze engagement at a national or global level, overlooking the unique organizational structures, cultural dynamics, and workforce challenges present in Hyderabad's rapidly expanding corporate healthcare sector. Additionally, limited research has explored the specific strategies adopted by these hospitals to foster employee engagement or evaluated their effectiveness from the employees' perspective. Without such localized and strategy-focused insights, hospital administrators

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

may struggle to design targeted interventions that truly enhance employee engagement within this distinct regional context.

Research Questions

1. What strategies are employed by corporate hospitals to foster employee engagement?
2. How effective are these employee engagement strategies from the perspective of the employees working in these hospitals?

Objectives of the study

The primary objective of this study is to examine the perceptions of employees towards engagement practices implemented in corporate hospitals in Hyderabad. Specific objectives include:

1. Identifying various strategies employed by these hospitals to foster employee engagement.
2. Assessing the effectiveness of these strategies from the employees' perspective.

Research Methodology

The research methodology for this study is designed to comprehensively analyze employee engagement practices in selected corporate hospitals in Hyderabad. The methodology involves defining the sample size, selecting appropriate sampling techniques, and identifying the tools for data collection and analysis.

Research Design: This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative data collection techniques. This approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of employee engagement practices and the perceptions of employees.

Sample Size: To ensure the representativeness of the study, a sample size of 100 employees will be targeted. This sample size is deemed sufficient to capture a wide range of perspectives and provide robust statistical power for quantitative analysis. The sample includes employees from various departments and job levels within the selected corporate hospitals in Hyderabad.

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

Convenience Sampling: Within the selected hospitals, convenience sampling will be used to recruit employees for the survey. This method is practical given the operational constraints and ensures a sufficient response rate by selecting participants who are readily available and willing to participate.

Data Collection Tools: The study utilized both quantitative and qualitative data collection tools to gather comprehensive data on employee engagement practices and perceptions.

Survey Questionnaire: A structured questionnaire will be designed to collect quantitative data from employees. The questionnaire will include sections on demographic information, employee engagement practices, and perceptions of engagement. The questions will be based on established scales such as the Gallup Q12 and the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES), which have been validated in previous studies.

Hypothesis:

Based on the objectives of the study, we can formulate the following hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1:

Null Hypothesis: There is no significant difference in employee engagement levels across different age groups.

Alternative Hypothesis: There is a significant difference in employee engagement levels across different age groups.

Hypothesis 2:

Null Hypothesis: There is no significant difference in employee engagement levels between male and female employees.

Alternative Hypothesis: There is a significant difference in employee engagement levels between male and female employees.

Hypothesis 3:

Null Hypothesis: There is no significant difference in employee engagement levels across different job roles.

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

Alternative Hypothesis: There is a significant difference in employee engagement levels across different job roles.

6. Data Analysis

In the healthcare sector, employee engagement is a critical factor that significantly influences the quality of patient care, organizational efficiency, and overall job satisfaction among healthcare professionals. Corporate hospitals in Hyderabad, like many other urban healthcare institutions, face the challenge of maintaining high levels of employee engagement amidst a demanding and fast-paced work environment. Understanding the perceptions of employees towards engagement practices is essential for developing strategies that foster a committed and motivated workforce.

This study aims to analyze the engagement practices in selected corporate hospitals in Hyderabad by examining various demographic factors, job roles, and engagement levels among employees. The data collected provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of employee engagement, highlighting areas of strength and opportunities for improvement. The following section presents the detailed data analysis, offering insights into the workforce composition and their engagement perceptions.

Table 1: Age Distribution

Age Group	No of Respondents	Percentage
Up to 25	15	15%
26 - 29	25	25%
30 - 33	35	35%
34 and above	25	25%
Total	100	100%

Source: Primary data

The age distribution indicates that the workforce in corporate hospitals in Hyderabad is relatively young, with 75% of respondents aged 33 or younger. The largest age group is 30-33 years, comprising 35% of the respondents. This age distribution may reflect the dynamic and evolving nature of the healthcare sector, where younger professionals are entering the workforce and contributing to the industry's growth. The presence of 25% of respondents

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

aged 34 and above suggests that there is also a significant proportion of experienced employees who bring valuable expertise and stability to the organization.

Table 2: Gender Distribution

Gender	No of Respondents	Percentage
Male	45	45%
Female	52	52%
Other	3	3%
Total	100	100%

Source: primary data

The gender distribution shows a slight female predominance with 52% of respondents being female, compared to 45% male and 3% identifying as other. This gender balance is typical in the healthcare sector, where female professionals often outnumber their male counterparts. The representation of 3% identifying as other indicates inclusivity and diversity within the workforce. This balanced gender distribution may contribute to a diverse and comprehensive approach to patient care and organizational practices.

Table 3: Years of Experience Distribution

Years of Experience	No of Respondents	Percentage
1 – 5	20	20%
6 – 10	30	30%
11 – 15	25	25%
16 and above	25	25%
Total	100	100%

Source: Primary data

The years of experience distribution reveals a varied level of professional experience among respondents. The largest group, comprising 30%, has 6-10 years of experience, indicating a solid core of mid-career professionals. Both the 11-15 years and 16+ years' experience categories have an equal representation of 25%, showcasing a significant presence of highly experienced employees. The 20% with 1-5 years of experience reflect new entrants into the

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

healthcare sector. This diversity in experience levels can lead to a rich exchange of knowledge and practices, enhancing overall organizational effectiveness and patient care quality.

Table 4: Job Role Distribution

Job Role	No of Respondents	Percentage
Doctor	30	30%
Nurse	40	40%
Administrative Staff	20	20%
Support Staff	10	10%
Total	100	100%

Source: Primary data

Interpretation: The job role distribution highlights that nurses constitute the largest group at 40%, followed by doctors at 30%, administrative staff at 20%, and support staff at 10%. This distribution is reflective of the operational needs in corporate hospitals, where nursing staff are essential for patient care and constitute a significant portion of the workforce. The presence of 30% doctors underscores the importance of skilled medical professionals in providing specialized healthcare services. Administrative and support staff, comprising 30% collectively, play crucial roles in ensuring the smooth functioning of hospital operations.

Table 5: Engagement Level Distribution

Engagement Level	No of Respondents	Percentage
Low	20	20%
Moderate	50	50%
High	30	30%
Total	100	100%

Source: Primary data

The engagement level distribution indicates that half of the respondents (50%) perceive their engagement level as moderate, while 30% report high engagement and 20% report low engagement. The high percentage of moderate engagement suggests that there is a substantial scope for improving engagement practices to elevate employees from moderate to high engagement. The 30% of highly engaged employees is a positive sign, reflecting a

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

segment of the workforce that is deeply committed and satisfied with their roles. However, the 20% reporting low engagement highlights areas where targeted interventions may be necessary to address disengagement and enhance overall employee satisfaction and productivity.

Hypothesis 1: ANOVA Test for Age Groups

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference in employee engagement levels across different age groups.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant difference in employee engagement levels across different age groups.

Statistic	Value	Null Hypothesis
F-value	0.200	Accepted
p-value	0.896	Accepted

Source: Primary data

The p-value of 0.896 is significantly greater than the significance level of 0.05, indicating that we fail to reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, we conclude that there is no significant difference in employee engagement levels across different age groups.

Hypothesis 2: Independent Samples t-test for Gender

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference in employee engagement levels between male and female employees.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant difference in employee engagement levels between male and female employees.

Statistic	Value	Null Hypothesis
F-value	0.541	Accepted
p-value	0.590	Accepted

Source: Primary data

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

The p-value of 0.590 is greater than the significance level of 0.05, indicating that we fail to reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, we conclude that there is no significant difference in employee engagement levels between male and female employees.

Hypothesis 3: ANOVA Test for Job Roles

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference in employee engagement levels across different job roles.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant difference in employee engagement levels across different job roles.

Statistic	Value	Null Hypothesis
F-value	102.40	Rejected
p-value	8.37e-30	Rejected

Source: Primary data

The p-value of 8.37e-30 is significantly less than the significance level of 0.05, indicating that we reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, we conclude that there is a significant difference in employee engagement levels across different job roles. This finding suggests that engagement practices may need to be tailored to different job roles to enhance overall engagement.

Findings

The analysis of employee engagement in corporate hospitals in Hyderabad yielded several key insights. Firstly, the ANOVA test revealed no significant difference in employee engagement levels across different age groups, with an F-value of 0.200 and a p-value of 0.896. This indicates that age does not play a significant role in determining engagement levels among employees in these hospitals, indicating that engagement practices are perceived similarly across all age groups.

Secondly, the independent samples t-test indicated no significant difference in engagement levels between male and female employees, with a t-value of 0.541 and a p-value of 0.590. This finding reveals that gender does not significantly influence employee engagement

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

levels, and both male and female employees perceive engagement practices in a similar manner.

Lastly, the ANOVA test for job roles showed a significant difference in engagement levels across different job roles, with an F-value of 102.40 and a p-value of 8.37e-30. This indicates that engagement levels vary significantly depending on the job role, indicating that different job roles (e.g., doctors, nurses, administrative staff, support staff) have unique engagement needs and perceptions.

Conclusion

This study provides an in-depth analysis of employee engagement practices in corporate hospitals in Hyderabad, emphasizing the perceptions of employees across different demographics and job roles. The findings indicate that age and gender do not significantly influence engagement levels, suggesting that these factors alone are not sufficient to determine engagement. However, the significant differences in engagement levels across job roles highlight the need for tailored strategies to address the unique needs and challenges of each role.

To enhance employee engagement effectively, corporate hospitals should consider implementing role-specific engagement practices that cater to the distinct requirements of doctors, nurses, administrative staff, and support staff. Universal engagement initiatives that promote professional growth, provide regular feedback, and ensure transparent communication can benefit all employees. Additionally, fostering an inclusive and supportive organizational culture, investing in professional development, and establishing robust recognition and reward systems are crucial for maintaining high engagement levels.

Promoting work-life balance through flexible work arrangements and wellness programs is essential in supporting employees' personal and professional lives, contributing to overall job satisfaction. Continuous monitoring and evaluation of engagement strategies will ensure their effectiveness and relevance, allowing for necessary adjustments to be made.

By adopting these recommendations, corporate hospitals in Hyderabad can create a more engaging and motivating work environment, leading to improved job satisfaction, reduced turnover, and enhanced organizational performance. This study offers valuable insights and

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

practical strategies for fostering a positive workplace culture that supports and engages all employees in the healthcare sector.

References

1. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209-223.
2. Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268-279.
3. Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692-724.
4. Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293-315.
5. Wright, T. A., & Cropanzano, R. (2000). Psychological well-being and job satisfaction as predictors of job performance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(1), 84-94.
6. Allen, D. G., Bryant, P. C., & Vardaman, J. M. (2010). Retaining talent: Replacing misconceptions with evidence-based strategies. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 24(2), 48-64.
7. Avolio, B. J., Walumbwa, F. O., & Weber, T. J. (2009). Leadership: Current theories, research, and future directions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 421-449.
8. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309-328.
9. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209-223.
10. Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Verbeke, W. (2004). Using the Job Demands-Resources model to predict burnout and performance. *Human Resource Management*, 43(1), 83-104.
11. Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership. Sage Publications.
12. Beauregard, T. A., & Henry, L. C. (2009). Making the link between work-life balance practices and organizational performance. *Human Resource Management Review*, 19(1), 9-22.
13. Boxall, P., & Purcell, J. (2011). *Strategy and Human Resource Management*. Palgrave Macmillan.
14. Cameron, J., Banko, K. M., & Pierce, W. D. (2001). Pervasive negative effects of rewards on intrinsic motivation: The myth continues. *Behavior Analyst*, 24(1), 1-44.
15. Deal, T. E., & Kennedy, A. A. (1982). *Corporate cultures: The rites and rituals of corporate life*. Addison-Wesley.

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

16. Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Ryan, R. M. (1999). A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic rewards on intrinsic motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125(6), 627-668.
17. Garavan, T. N., Carbery, R., & Rock, A. (2012). Mapping talent development: Definition, scope and architecture. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 36(1), 5-24.
18. Goodman, S. A., & Truss, C. (2004). The medium and the message: Comprehending the ERS model for internal communication. *Journal of Communication Management*, 8(3), 208-222.
19. Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16(2), 250-279.
20. Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268-279.
21. Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values*. Sage Publications.
22. Judge, T. A., Thoresen, C. J., Bono, J. E., & Patton, G. K. (2001). The job satisfaction-job performance relationship: A qualitative and quantitative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(3), 376-407.
23. Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692-724.
24. Kossek, E. E., Baltes, B. B., & Matthews, R. A. (2011). How work-family research can finally have an impact in organizations. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 4(3), 352-369.
25. Kular, S., Gatenby, M., Rees, C., Soane, E., & Truss, K. (2008). *Employee engagement: A literature review*. Kingston University.
26. Laschinger, H. K. S., & Leiter, M. P. (2006). The impact of nursing work environments on patient safety outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 36(5), 259-267.
27. Leiter, M. P., & Maslach, C. (2009). Nurse turnover: The mediating role of burnout. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 17(3), 331-339.
28. Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The meaning of employee engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(1), 3-30.
29. Markos, S., & Sridevi, M. S. (2010). Employee engagement: The key to improving performance. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(12), 89-96.
30. Pfeffer, J. (1998). *The Human Equation: Building Profits by Putting People First*. Harvard Business School Press.
31. Rich, B. L., Lepine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(3), 617-635.
32. Robinson, D., Perryman, S., & Hayday, S. (2004). *The Drivers of Employee Engagement*. Institute for Employment Studies.
33. Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.

"An Analytical Study on Employee Engagement Perceptions in Corporate Hospitals in Hyderabad"

34. Saks, A. M. (2019). Exploring the boundaries of employee engagement. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 6(1), 1-17.
35. Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. Jossey-Bass.
36. Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293-315.
37. Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 3(1), 71-92.
38. Simpson, M. R. (2009). Engagement at work: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 46(7), 1012-1024.
39. Truss, C., Soane, E., Edwards, C., Wisdom, K., Croll, A., & Burnett, J. (2006). *Working Life: Employee Attitudes and Engagement 2006*. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development.
40. Tsai, W. C., Chen, C. C., & Cheng, J. W. (2009). Employee positive moods as a mediator linking transformational leadership and employee work outcomes. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20(10), 2066-2081.
41. Wright, T. A., & Cropanzano, R. (2000). Psychological well-being and job satisfaction as predictors of job performance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(1), 84-94.